| 1             | THE HONORABLE MARSHA J. PECHMA  |   |  |
|---------------|---|---|--|
| 2             |   |   |  |
| 3             |   |   |  |
| 4             | -   |   |  |
| 5             |   |   |  |
| 6             |   | UNITED STATES D   | ISTRICT COURT                                  |
| 7<br>8        | WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON<br>AT SEATTLE                                  |   |  |
| 9<br>10<br>11 | a Washington lim  | THWEST COMPANY, L.L.C., nited liability company, aintiff, | CASE NO. 2:11-cv-620 MJP SPECIAL VERDICT FORM  |
| 12            | vs.   |   |  |
| 13            | LOUISIANA-PACIFIC CORPORATION,  |   |  |
| 14            | Defendant.  |   |  |
| 15            | We, the jury, answer each of the questions submitted by the Court as follows: |   |  |
| 16            | I. <u>B</u> 1   | reach of Implied Warranty Cla                             | <u>im</u>                                      |
| 17            | 1.  | Has plaintiff proven that defe purpose?                   | endant's express warranty failed its essential |
| 18            |   | Yes   | No   |
| 19            |   | If your answer to Ouestion 1 w                            | vas "yes," please go to Question No. 2. If you |
| 20            | answered "no," please go to Question No. 4.                                   |   |  |
| 22            | 2.  | Has plaintiff proven that det merchantability?            | fendant breached the implied warranty and      |
| 23            |   | Yes   | No   |
| 24            |   |   |  |
| 25            |   | If you answered "no," please go                           | o to Question No. 5.                           |
| 26            | :   |   |  |
|               |   |   |  |
|               |   |   | •  |

| 1  | 3. Has plaintiff proven that defendant breached the implied warranty and fitness for a particular purpose? |  |  |  |
|----|--|--|--|--|
| 2  | Yes No   |  |  |  |
| 3  |  |  |  |  |
| 4  | II. <u>Misrepresentation Claim</u>   |  |  |  |
| 5  | 4. Has plaintiff proven its claim for misrepresentation?   |  |  |  |
| 6  | Yes No   |  |  |  |
| 7  |  |  |  |  |
| 8  | III. Consumer Protection Act Claim   |  |  |  |
| 9  | 5. Has plaintiff proven its claim for violation of the Consumer Protection Act?                            |  |  |  |
| 10 | YesNo  |  |  |  |
| 11 | IV. <u>Damages</u>   |  |  |  |
| 12 | 6. If you answered "yes" to any of the following questions: 2, 3, 4, and/or/5                              |  |  |  |
| 13 | what amount of damages, if any, do you find were proximately caused by                                     |  |  |  |
| 14 | Defendant's breach?  |  |  |  |
| 15 | \$   |  |  |  |
| 16 | Diama hamadha Danidina Tanan sian and data this Commo  |  |  |  |
| 17 | Please have the Presiding Juror sign and date this form:   |  |  |  |
| 18 | Presiding Juror  DATE: 1-11-13   |  |  |  |
| 19 | <b>U</b>   |  |  |  |
| 20 |  |  |  |  |
| 21 |  |  |  |  |
| 22 |  |  |  |  |
| 23 |  |  |  |  |
| 24 |  |  |  |  |
| 25 |  |  |  |  |
| 26 |  |  |  |  |
| )  |  |  |  |  |
|    |  |  |  |  |